

PPEU-Manifesto (Kiev Conference)

*** Citizen participation**

The European Pirate Party strives to increase and promote every individual's opportunities for democratic participation. Only democracy will enable a fair and equitable coexistence and the balancing of individual interests within a state union.

Human dignity is inviolable. Everybody has a right to life, liberty and security of person, to freedom of thought and self determination, and to participate in society. Sovereignty resides in the people. Citizens have the right to decide in all matters that affect their own lives.

*** Civil Rights**

We value the highest standard for civil rights in the European Union. Essential are the rights of free association and free assembly in public, the freedom of opinion and expression and free access to information. Whistleblowers should be protected by law and not subject to legal action.

We strongly believe, that all people should be treated equal. As everybody belongs to a minority, the respect of the rights of the minority is essential for society.

*The **Privacy** of the individual should be valued at all times and protected from being exploited by public and economic actors. Everybody should have unencumbered access to the internet and other public information and communication networks and have the possibility to protect all data transfer with good privacy.*

*** Transparency**

***Transparency and Accountability** for public institutions are the counterpart of good data protection regulation to protect **Privacy**. We want a clear transparency in common affairs and good privacy for individuals.*

• Open Government

- Public authorities are required to regularly publish organizational and task descriptions, including catalogues of all administrative records.*
- Everybody has the right to access documents and proceedings on all levels of government and to the information available to the respective public authorities. This right may be limited only for the protection of personal rights, national security, crime prevention and similar concerns. This assessment needs to be reevaluated on a regular basis.*

• Open public contracts

- Contracts between public and private sector must be made public to allow for public oversight.*
- In justified cases, i.e. in case of danger for life and limb, limited exceptions can be defined, for which certain information included in contracts can be kept secret.*
- All existing contracts must be made accessible to the public.*
- Public procurement or selling must be transparent and open. At the end of a tender process all bids must be published, as well as the reasons for the selection of the winning bid.*

*** Open Access and Open Data**

All data created for public use or with the use of public money, regardless of origin, should be freely available to the general public in an appropriate form which shall also include a form for data processing. Access must not be limited by application procedures, licenses, fees or technical means.

*** Free software**

We support the promotion of software that can be used, analyzed, disseminated and changed by everyone, without restrictions. This so-called free and libre open source software guarantees users the basic freedom necessary to take control of their own technical systems and provides a significant contribution to strengthening the autonomy and privacy of all users.

*** Libre Culture**

We see it as our responsibility to promote creative production, especially with regard to cultural diversity. We believe it is key to strengthen the free culture so that it is a resource for education (in the broad sense) and for creation.

*** Copyright reform**

We want a fair and balanced copyright law. Copyright should give authors the first chance to get a reward from their work, however that needs to be balanced with the rights of society as a whole.

We therefore demand that copying, providing access to, storing and using creative products for non-commercial purposes must not just be legalized, but actively promoted to improve the public availability of information, knowledge and culture, because this is a prerequisite for the social, technological and economic development of our society. Everyone shall be able to enjoy and share our cultural heritage free from the threat of legal action or censorship.

Copyright is a limited commercial monopoly and we intend to shorten the term such a monopoly is granted for. Derivative works shall always be permitted with exceptions which are very specifically enumerated in law with minimal room for interpretation.

Technical measures that prevent consumers from using culture in ways permitted by law have to be outlawed.

*** Patent system reform**

Patents are government-backed monopolies which are obstacles in a free market and increasingly hinder instead of help innovation. Granting of limited monopoly rights should only be allowed for cases where there is a benefit in the general interest. Patents should definitely never be given for things that are trivial, non substantial, targeting living organisms, ideas, computer programs, business models or are unethical otherwise.

Proposed Changes:

Net Neutrality

We advocate for the right of a discrimination-free Internet, which does not permit operators, governments and other bodies neither block or prioritise certain kinds of applications, services or contents nor limit the access depending on the location of sender or receiver.

On to the existing text:

+++++

I. * Citizen participation

The European Pirate Party strives to increase and promote every individual's opportunities for democratic participation. Only democracy will enable a fair and equitable coexistence and the balancing of individual interests within a state union.

Human dignity is inviolable. Everybody has the right to life, liberty and security of person, to freedom of thought and self-determination, and to participate in society. Power resides with the people. Citizens have the right to participate in all decisions that affect their lives.

Remarks:

German Pirates suggest:

- 1. self determination > self-determination**
 - 2. Sovereignty > Power**
 - 3. "resides in" > "resides with"**
- or right away: "Citizens have the right to participate in all decisions that affect their lives."**

Or a completely new alternative text:

Power resides with the people. Their rights and their dignity stand above all else.

Everybody has a right to life, liberty and security, to freedom of thought and self-determination, and to participate in decisions that affect their lives. The European Pirate Party strives to create opportunities for democratic participation and to promote their widespread use, because only democracy can ensure an equitable balancing of the European's diverse interests.

*passed

The Italian Pirates want to add the following paragraph:

Today's Europe denies any real democratic participation of citizens, because the real mechanisms of government, - the ones who decide on the economy and thus on people's lives - are totally alienated to any form of intervention citizen and delivered to self-referential organizations such as the European Commission and the ECB;

We PIRATES have the means, and intend to use them, to erode - this dysfunctional democracy that delivers the people to the global financial interests, without any kind of public or democratic control.

- We PIRATES have the means, and intend to use them, to give the global governance to the people; the actual government power, the one that decides on the economy and therefore on the lives of every single citizen, is out the people's range of control; we want to abolish these mechanisms and replace them with the liquid democracy, the only one fit for the digital era.

- We maintain that a supranational governance needs a supranational state.

- *We are working as a united european party, first step for a united international party.*

Therefore, WE ASK

- *A European Constituent Assembly to set the foundations and create a united European State; only a European state will give to the citizen the opportunity to reinstate the rightful control of the European institutions that de facto govern Europe.*

*not passed

The Swedish Pirates remark:

*We are a bit uncertain how the second paragraph relates to the topic. And if it remains the last sentence needs to be changed from: "Citizens have the right to decide in all matters that affect their own lives." to something along the lines of "Citizens have the right to participate in deciding in all matters that affect their own lives.". We are open for better phrasings or to remove the whole paragraph.

French Pirate proposals :

FR-a) Change :

"Everybody has a right to life, liberty and security of person"

to

"Everybody has the right to life, liberty and security of person"

(like in Universal Declaration of Human Rights).

*passed

FR-b) Change :

"Only democracy will enable a fair and equitable coexistence and the balancing of individual interests within a state union."

to

"Only democracy will enable a fair and equitable coexistence and the balancing of individual rights and interests within a state union."

FR-c) Change :

"Everybody has a right to life, (...), and to participate in society."

to

"Everybody has a right to life, (...), and to participate in society and economy."

#####

II. Civil Rights

We value the highest standard for civil rights in the European Union. Essential are the rights of free association and free assembly in public, the freedom of opinion and expression and free access to information. Whistleblowers should be protected by law and not subject to legal action.

We strongly believe, that all people should be treated equal. As everybody belongs to a minority, the respect of the rights of the minority is essential for society.

The Privacy of the individual should be valued at all times and protected from being exploited by public and economic actors. Everybody should have unencumbered access to the internet and other public information and communication networks and have the possibility to protect all data transfer with good privacy.

The German Pirates suggest to make the following changes:

Remarks:

1. Essential are the rights ... the order of words is wrong-> Alternative: "The rights of free association and free assembly in public, freedom of opinion and expression and free access to information are essentials."
2. We strongly believe, that ... Strike comma.
3. ~~all people should be treated equal > all people should be treated equally (please do not confuse it with: all people are created equal)~~
4. ~~"value" > "advocate"~~
5. Whistleblowers "should" > "must"
6. In sentence 3 exchange the second half of the sentence after "law" with: ", rather than being subjected to legal prosecution."
7. ~~all people "should" > "must"~~
8. Instead of sentence 5: "Since everybody belongs to a minority in some way or the other, the respect of the rights of the minorities is essential for a just and civil society."
9. Strike out in the last sentence: "The.....and have the possibility to protect all data transfer with good privacy." Instead add at the end of the text: "Capacities for safe and confidential transfer of data are to be protected and enhanced."
10. Exchange "the European Union" against "Europe"

~~Or alternatively change the whole text like this:~~

~~We want the European Union to adhere to the highest standards of civil rights. Beyond important fundamental rights like freedom of expression, the right to public assembly, and the protection of minorities, this also includes privacy, freedom of information, and the protection of whistleblowers.~~

~~Privacy needs protection in order to withstand the onslaught of those who seek to exploit personal information for personal, political or economic gain. The ability to communicate without being intercepted or identified is not only essential to our civil rights, but to the work and well-being of journalists and whistleblowers alike.~~

~~Europeans have a right to unencumbered access to the internet and other public networks, and to employ means to secure the data they transmit.~~

*not passed

French pirates proposals :

FR-a) Change :

"We *value* the highest standard for civil rights"

to

"We *support* the highest standard for civil rights".

*passed

FR-b) Change :

"Essential are the rights of free association and free assembly in public, the freedom of opinion and expression and free access to information."

to :

"Essential are the rights of free association, **freedom of movement** and free assembly in public, the freedom of opinion and expression and free access to information."

*passed

FR-c) Change :

"We strongly believe, that all people should be treated equal"

to

"We strongly believe, that all people **have to** be treated equal **and fair**"

*not passed

swedish proposal-d) Change :

"We strongly believe, that all people should be treated equal"

to

"We strongly believe, that all people **have the right to fair and equal treatment**"

*passed

~~FR-c2) Change (***final wording in process...***):~~

~~"We strongly believe, that all people should be treated equal"~~

~~to~~

~~"We strongly believe, that all people **have to** be treated **in full equality to a fair process**"~~

For information, text of UDHR, article 10, reads :

"Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal (...)"

+++++

III. * Transparency

Transparency and Accountability for public institutions are the counterpart of good data protection regulation to protect Privacy. We want a clear transparency in common affairs and good privacy for individuals.

Vote passed to keep the Kiev version.

The German Pirate propose the following change:

Remarks:

want a clear > demand

~~**Or change the text completely into this one:**~~

~~*The European Pirates work towards greater transparency and accountability of public institutions.*~~

~~*Data protection regulation is only effective in securing privacy if it is closely followed. Transparency allows the citizens to make sure it is, while accountability enables them to do something about it if their rights are not respected.*~~

~~**The Italian Pirates propose to add the following paragraph:**~~

~~We want that all declarations on “transparency” become facts and not only empty words, we ask that, in order to adjust to the digital era, our instrument of real democracy, Liquid Feedback, should be used in Europe at every level of government; we intend to promote a popular legislative proposition on this matter.~~

Estonian Pirates make a remark:

~~Under accountability we should also stress the need for "evidence based policy making". This is the core idea of many pirate policies (copyright, addiction etc). This is basically evidence and arguments versus trusting traditions:~~

~~Our proposal is to amend the 3rd sentence with (or something similar): Transparency allows the citizens to make sure it is, while accountability **and evidence based policymaking** enables them to do something about it if their rights are not respected.~~

Norwegian proposal/remark - editorial change only

~~Transparency and Accountability for public institutions are the counterpart of good data protection regulation to protect Privacy. We want a clear transparency in common/**public** affairs and good privacy for individuals:~~

Swedish proposal:

~~Transparency and Accountability for public institutions are **fundamental to ensure a society free of corruption and** the counterpart of good data protection regulation to protect Privacy. We want a clear transparency in common affairs and good privacy for individuals:~~

catalonian proposal:

~~Transparency and Accountability for public institutions are **fundamental to ensure a government free of corruption and** the counterpart of good data protection regulation to protect Privacy. We want a clear transparency in common affairs and good privacy for individuals:~~

+++++

IV. Open Government

Public authorities are required to regularly publish organizational and task descriptions, including catalogues of all administrative records.

Everybody has the right to access documents and proceedings on all levels of government and to the information available to the respective public authorities. This right may be limited only for the protection of personal rights, national security, crime prevention and similar concerns. This assessment needs to be reevaluated on a regular basis.

The German Pirates suggest the following changes:

Remarks:

- 1. Everybody has the right to access documents ... and to the information > ... and the information (strike out the "to")**
- 2. reevaluated > re-evaluated**

~~Or endeavour to change the text completely into this one:~~

~~Everybody has the right to access documents on all levels of government. This right may be limited only for the protection of personal rights, national security, crime prevention and similar concerns. This assessment needs to be re-evaluated on a regular basis.~~

~~The administration needs to regularly publish catalogues of all administrative records, as well as organizational and task descriptions.~~

The Italian Pirates propose to add the following paragraph to the text:

~~We are working in order to open Pirate Centers both online and all over the territory as means for a popular control over the public affairs, so that through civic medias als Liquid Feed back everyone may discuss/amend/vote on public spending; the centers will be furnished with all the necessary digital-instruments.~~

The catalans think that ~~Transparency~~ could not be the title of this section (already changed back to two separate points), but a point within an Open Government section (which should be properly explained: it does not only involve access to data, but also tools that allow individuals to actively propose policies and make decisions). Basically, at the moment the Open Government section talks about transparency, not open gov.

Proposal (add on)

Public authorities are not only required to regularly publish documents and proceedings on all levels of government, but should also **be encouraged** to put forward participatory, and collaborative tools that allow citizens to actively propose policies and make decisions.

*passed

The Swedish also want to remove Open Government from Transparency (Remark from Martina: It is already in a different part, see line 185-219).

French Pirate proposals :

~~FR=a) Change :~~

~~"Public authorities are required to regularly publish organizational and task descriptions"~~

to

~~"Public authorities are required to regularly publish organizational structures and task descriptions"~~

proposal not passed

~~FR=b) Change :~~

~~"This right may be limited only for the protection of personal rights, national security, crime prevention **and similar concerns.**"~~

to

~~"This right may be limited only for precise reasons such as the protection of personal rights, national security, crime prevention, and under the control of an independant judiciary organ."~~

(jens):HR (without national security)"This right may be limited only for precise reasons such as the

protection of personal rights, crime prevention, and under the control of an independent judiciary organ."

*passed

AT Proposal:

~~"This right may be limited only for the protection of personal rights, national security, crime prevention and similar concerns."~~

Swedish proposal supported by Norway:

~~Remove: "This right may be limited only for the protection of personal rights, national security, crime prevention and similar concerns. This assessment needs to be reevaluated on a regular basis" replace it with nothing.~~

*4 no 4 yes -- not passed

Estonian proposal (Withdraw):

Add or to be present to observe

Everybody has the right to access documents and proceedings /or to be present to observe/ on all levels of government and to the information available to the respective public authorities.

Explanation: There is not always documentation or the documentation is lacking precision.

+++++

V. Open public contracts

~~Contracts between public and private sector must be made public to allow for public oversight.~~

-

~~In justified cases, i.e. in case of danger for life and limb, limited exceptions can be defined, for which certain information included in contracts can be kept secret.~~

-

~~All existing contracts must be made accessible to the public.~~

-

~~Public procurement or selling must be transparent and open. At the end of a tender process all bids must be published, as well as the reasons for the selection of the winning bid.~~

-

The German Pirates suggests to make the following changes to the text:

Remarks:-

- 1. ~~Contracts between public and private sector~~ > ~~Contracts between the public and the private sector~~
- 2. ~~limited exceptions can be defined, for which ...~~ Strike out the comma as it is a necessary relative sentence
 — Instead of "can" use "may"

*

Alternatively, the text could be changed into the following one:

Government contracts between must be made public to allow for public oversight. This includes all existing-

~~contracts:~~

~~Only in special cases, when publishing the information could result in serious threats, for example to life or well-being of the individual, information may be kept secret.~~

~~Government contracts must be awarded through a transparent and open tender process. All bids must be published, as well as the reasoning behind the selection of the winning bid.~~

~~*~~

The Swedish Pirates remark:

*The entire section "open public contracts" should be removed. That level of detail is unnecessary in the document, and the wording lacks support in the political positions of the Swedish Pirate Party. This should not be seen as if we disagree with the position, we merely lack a position, and can therefore not agree to one in a document of PPEU.

*passed

+++++

VI. Open Access and Open Data

All data created for public use or with the use of public money, regardless of origin, should be freely available to the general public in an appropriate form which shall also include a form for data processing. Access must not be limited by application procedures, licenses, fees or technical means. The use of open formats, free and open source licenses should be forced at all levels of society.

The German Pirates propose the following changes:

Remarks:

1. It might be nice to have a general concept of access here, as access to resources for everyone is a general principle for Pirates.

It's the very Grundsätze - let's be grundsätzlich hier! ;-)

2. Put a comma in front of: which shall also include (because it is not a necessary relative sentence) should be forced > should be enforced

Or alternatively, the following text:

All data created for public use or with the use of public money, regardless of origin, should be freely available to the general public in an appropriate form, which shall also include a form for data processing. Access must not be limited by application procedures, licenses, fees or technical means.

The use of open formats, free and open source licenses should be encouraged at all levels of society.

The Catalan Pirates propose to add the following sentence:

The results of any research carried out completely or in part with public funds must be published in open access scientific journals or other means that make them readily accessible to the general population.

*passed

The Italian Pirates want to add the following paragraph:

The whole body of laws will be published in a control version system, accessible to the main public. Legislative procedures will follow best practices for collaborative work experience. We need to control and to declare the dependencies, publication of the phases of the construction and modification of the laws, to allow the collection of feedback from concerned citizens.

In the future, we will see a system error notification and tracking of requests and changes open for professionals such as lawyers and judges.

We aim for automated systems for the collection of measurements and feedback of significant indices, test automation and simulation to better evaluate the impact of the proposed changes.

Time has come that politics and the law begins to open to progress and make its own.

*not passed

The Swedish Pirates remark:

Some safeguards for privacy concerns should be added to the text.

New Scandinavian proposal

All data created for public use or with the use of public money, regardless of origin, should be freely available to the general public, as long as personal details are not revealed without the consent of the concerned individuals. It shall be made available in an appropriate form, which shall also include a form for data processing. Access must not be limited by application procedures, licenses, fees or technical means.

*passed

~~Alternative first paragraph (with privacy, catalans)~~

~~All data created for public use or with the use of public money, regardless of origin, should be freely available to the general public in an appropriate form, which shall also include a form for data processing: as long as this does not conflict with the right to privacy for individuals. Access must not be limited by application procedures, licenses, fees or technical means, but it can be limited for privacy concerns.~~

*withdrawn

~~Second alternative suggestion for privacy concern:~~

~~"All data created for public use or with the use of public money, regardless of origin, should be freely available to the general public in an the more detailed form that does not violate privacy, which shall also include a form for data processing."~~

*withdrawn

~~The French Pirates remark:~~

~~*Uncertainty about data created with the use of public money~~

~~Many artistic works are helped, in one way or the other, by public funding. The exact scope of this sentence is therefore a little bit unclear and could be too large. It is necessary to make sure the way all the pirates understand this sentence is the same, and it would be probably a good idea to rephrase it.~~

~~=> Might be dropped if the definition of "data" is strict enough, and/or it is precised to concern public informaiton/data scope.~~

*we decided that in this section a statement for privacy concerns should be included, but will be added later.

*now proceeding in the agenda

+++++

VII. Free software

We support the promotion of software that can be used, analyzed, disseminated and changed by everyone, without restrictions. This so-called free and libre open source software guarantees users the basic freedom necessary to take control of their own technical systems and provides a significant contribution to strengthening the autonomy and privacy of all users.

The German Pirates propose the following changes:

Remarks:

1. Strike out „so-called“.

divide the last sentence: End of the sentence after "systems", and provides > It also

Alternatively, the whole text may be changed into the following:

We support the promotion of software that can be used, analyzed, disseminated and changed by everyone, without restrictions. Open source software guarantees users the basic freedom necessary to take control of their own technical systems and provides a significant contribution to strengthening the autonomy and privacy of all users.

*not passed

The Swedish Pirates remark:

The words "without restrictions" needs to be stricken. Otherwise it would seem that we do not support Free Software, which for the Swedish Pirate Party would be very problematic.

*passed

French pirates proposal :

FR-a) Change :yes

*"This so-called free and libre open source software **guarantees users the basic freedom necessary to take control of their own technical systems**"*

to :

*"This so-called free and libre open source software **is essential for** users's control of their own technical systems"*

*passed

(Main reason : access to source code is essential, but not enough to guarantee user's control. For example the State can publish the source code of a voting software used in a machine where they control the hardware. In such example, user's control might be greater, but not perfect. We should not promote the idea that access to source code is enough to guarantee absolute user's control.)

+++++

VIII. Libre Culture

We see it as our responsibility to promote creative production, especially with regard to cultural diversity. We believe it is key to strengthen the free culture so that it is a resource for education (in the broad sense) and for creation.

The German Pirates suggest the following changes:

Remarks:

- 1. We believe it is key to strengthen the free culture > We believe in strengthening free culture
- 2. We see it as > It is
- 3. with regard > in regard
- 4. broad > broader

Alternatively, the text could be substituted by the following one:

Free culture is an important resource for the education and creativity of society. We strive to promote creative activity and cultural diversity to ensure a rich educational and creative environment for our and future generations.

*passed

French pirates proposals:

FR-a) Change :

"*creative production*" to "*literary and artistic production*",

"*creativity*" to "*productivity*",

"*creative*" to "*artistic*", and

"*creation*" to "*art*".

(Note : change that in all the Manifesto, in particular in the next paragraph about copyright)

*passed : voted to be adopted here and then voted again in next chapter

See for inspiration :

<https://www.gnu.org/philosophy/words-to-avoid.html>

<https://torrentfreak.com/language-matters-framing-the-copyright-monopoly-so-we-can-keep-our-liberties-130714/>

+++++

IX. Copyright reform

We want a fair and balanced copyright law. Copyright should give authors the first chance to get a reward from their work, however that needs to be balanced with the rights of society as a whole.

We therefore demand that copying, providing access to, storing and using creative products for non-commercial purposes must not just be legalized, but actively promoted to improve the public availability of information, knowledge and culture, because this is a prerequisite for the social, technological and economic development of our society. Everyone shall be able to enjoy and share our cultural heritage free from the threat of legal action or censorship.

Copyright is a limited commercial monopoly and we intend to shorten the term such a monopoly is granted for. Derivative works shall always be permitted with exceptions which are very specifically enumerated in law with minimal room for interpretation.

~~Technical measures that prevent consumers from using culture in ways permitted by law have to be outlawed.~~

The German Pirates propose the following changes:

Remarks:

1. „we intend to shorten the term such a monopoly is granted for“ should be exchanged for „should only be granted for a short amount of time“ .
2. Exchange the order of „Patent system reform“ and „Copyright reform“ as in the former there is an explanation why monopolies should be limited. (???)
3. Copyright should give authors the first chance > ... a first chance
4. must not just be legalized > need not only be legalized (must be changed, because we mean the exact contrary)
5. Everyone shall be able > Everyone must be able
6. Derivative works shall always be permitted > Derivative works must always be permitted
7. which are very specifically enumerated > which have to be ... or which are to be
8. from their work > for their work
9. We therefore > Therefore we
10. legalized > allowed
11. but > rather they should be
12. granted > granted for
13. permitted with exceptions which are very specifically enumerated in law with minimal room for interpretation > permitted with very few exceptions (which must be specifically enumerated in the legal texts; leaving only minimal room for interpretation).

Technical measures that prevent consumers from using culture in ways permitted by law have to be outlawed. > Any technical measures preventing consumers from using cultural production in ways permitted by law must be curtailed.

Alternatively, the following text could be adopted:

~~We see copyright as form of monopoly.~~

~~While we support the right of creators to get a reward from their work, we think the interests of society as a whole must be taken into account as well. This is why we want to shorten the term such a monopoly is granted for, and allow derivative works in most cases even before the copyright runs out.~~

~~We also want copying, providing, storing and using creative products for non-commercial use not only to be legalized, but promoted, in order to improve the public availability of information, knowledge and culture. This is a prerequisite for the social, technological and economic development of our society. Everyone shall be able to enjoy and share our cultural heritage free from the threat of legal action or censorship. Technical measures that prevent consumers from using culture in ways permitted by law have to be outlawed.~~

*not passed

The Swedish Pirates proposals:

***First paragraph** needs to be rewritten so that the focus is on the public good and not on the right to reimbursement for creators.

"We want a fair and balanced copyright law based on the interests of society as a whole."

*passed

*In the **third paragraph** it should be clarified that we are talking about the commercial copyright and not

the rights to recognition which is an integral part of European copyright tradition.

"The commercial monopoly given by copyright should be restored to a reasonable term.

Derivative works shall always be permitted with exceptions which are very specifically enumerated in law with minimal room for interpretation.

*passed

*The **last paragraph** (*Technical measures that prevent consumers from using culture in ways permitted by law have to be outlawed.*) should be removed entirely.

*passed

The French Pirates remarks:

*** Usage of terms such as "creative products"**

Words have a meaning. Calling something creative is unclear and vague. Calling a piece of art a product or a work and talking about reward is summarizing things to the commercial part of art. In a way it is using the propaganda sentences of the copyright industry. It would be better to use words such as simply "art", or "artistic production" instead. It would be good to insist here on the fact that authors and artists should be help to produce their art and the public given a better access to it in exchange, instead of the current system that is helpless for authors and artists, until they are famous but bound and gagged by private companies that use them for profit.

Proposal A) Change :

~~"We want a fair and balanced copyright law. Copyright should give authors the first chance to get **a reward from their work**, however that needs to be balanced with the rights **of society as a whole**."~~

to

~~"We want a fair and balanced copyright law. Copyright should give authors **and artists** the first chance to get **support**, however that needs to be balanced with the rights **of the public**."~~

=> withdrawn after the vote of the first Swedish proposal.

*not passed

Proposal B) Change :

~~"Copyright is a limited commercial monopoly and we intend to **shorten the term** such a monopoly is granted for."~~

to

~~"Copyright is a limited commercial monopoly and we intend to **restore and preserve a reasonable** term such a monopoly is granted."~~

*not passed

Proposal C) Change :

~~"We therefore demand that copying, providing access to, storing and using creative products for non-commercial purposes must not just be legalized, but **protected by law and** actively promoted to improve the public availability of information"~~

*passed

Proposal D) Change

+ remove the last paragraph ("~~**Technical measures that prevent consumers from using culture in ways permitted by law have to be outlawed.**~~")

*passed

The Norwegian Pirates remark:

"We therefore demand that copying, providing access to,..."

To secure a more acceptable wording the proposal for change is either of these two changes, where #1 is less formal than #2:

1. *We therefore **call for** that copying, providing access to,...*

*not passed

2. ~~*We therefore **postulate** that copying, providing access to,...*~~

~~Gregory proposal: we should avoid wording like "shorten the term" in the manifesto and go with something like "**the protection term should be short enough to...**". Otherwise as we will succeed and the terms will get shoirtened, we will need to issue new manifesto revisions...~~

French pirates proposals II:

FR Change :

"creative production" to "literary and artistic production",

"creativity" to "productivity",

"creative" to "artistic", and

"creation" to "art".

(Note : change that in all the Manifesto, in particular in the next paragraph about copyright)

*passed - only applying to the second paragraph 528

PPBE proposal:

* scrap the two last paragraphs entirely

~~*Copyright is a limited commercial monopoly and we intend to shorten the term such a monopoly is granted for. Derivative works shall always be permitted with exceptions which are very specifically enumerated in law with minimal room for interpretation.*~~

~~—~~

~~*Technical measures that prevent consumers from using culture in ways permitted by law have to be outlawed.*~~

*not passed

+++++

X. Patent system reform

Patents are government-backed monopolies which are obstacles in a free market and increasingly hinder instead of help innovation. Granting of limited monopoly rights should only be allowed for cases where there is a benefit in the general interest. Patents should definitely never be given for things that are trivial, non substantial, targeting living organisms, ideas, computer programs, business models or are unethical otherwise.

The German Pirates propose the following changes:

Remarks:

1. Exchange „business models“ for „business methods“.

2. instead of > rather than

Granting of limited monopoly rights should only be allowed > Limited monopoly rights should only be granted

~~Or alternatively exchange the text for this one:~~

~~Patents are similar monopolies, which obstruct the free market and hinder instead of help innovation. Granting of limited monopoly rights should only be allowed for cases where there is a benefit in the general interest, but trivial patents or patents for living organisms, ideas, computer programs and business models should never be approved.~~

*not passed

The Italian Pirates suggest to add the following paragraphs to the text:

~~The patent system feeds bureaucracies and lawyers as much as researchers. It is based on restricting the use of knowledge, whereas there is no extra cost associated with the sharing of knowledge. It introduce deep distortions in several markets, where companies research the most profitable technology at the expense of the most usefull. It is way too common the seeing of a corporation using its predominet position in the market to impose a worst solution, just because it own the patent.~~

~~We are favourable in a change of paradigm, a new system based on substantial publics prizes to encourage private research, while granting of limited monopoly rights should only be the exception.~~

*not passed

The Swedish Pirates remark:

The words "living organisms" should be specified as "naturally occurring living organisms".

*not passed

The words "living organisms" should be striked

*passed

The French Pirates remark:

*** Long-term objectives**

The paragraph on patent system reform could be true based on short-term perspectives, but the pirate movement seeks to replace the patent system as a whole. While it is uokrgent to prevent patents on some fields, it is also necessary to affirm strongly the need to deeply rethink the system. We need to focus on helping the research upstream, and in exchange freeing the result of such research. It is important to state it clearly from the beginning. We should also use key expressions such as "public domain" and "common good", both in the patent paragraph and in the rest of the text. Pirates want to build a world that both guarantees citizen and human rights, and protect and develop the common good.

FR-a) Add at the end :

"In the long term, an alternative system should be developed to replace patents, that finances research upstream and puts the result directly in public domain."

*not passed

Counterproposal to the french from SE:

"In the long term, an alternative system to support innovation must be developed to replace patents and ensure that the results of research come to the benefit of society."

*passed

PPBE remove the second sentence

Patents are government-backed monopolies which are obstacles in a free market and increasingly hinder instead of help innovation. Granting of limited monopoly rights should only be allowed for cases where there is a benefit in the general interest. Patents should definitely never be given for things that are trivial, non substantial, targeting living organisms, ideas, computer programs, business models or are unethical otherwise.

*passed

The Estonian Pirates remark:

(counterproposal to additional topics proposed by the Italian Pirates)

~~We propose to create subsections for the patents section to include important topics of Agriculture and Energy Policies stressed by the Italian proposal (3. The Italian Pirates want to add more topics to the manifesto, currently line 623). Agreeing with the French remark that we feel "the need to deeply rethink the system", we should express our support for decentralisation and small/medium enterprises. Therefore we propose "Resilience and Sustainability" to be added as general principles to base economic, energy, agriculture etc policies on:~~

~~Some of these principles can be detailed as follows (hereof making use of a few segments from the Italian proposal):~~

- ~~• We support SMEs and economic initiatives by citizens with social relevance as the means to overcome risks with multinational corporations leading the global economy. Fostering entrepreneurship of younger people and ordinary citizens is a guarantee for resilience against future economic crises as well as a solution to unemployment.~~
- ~~• We are for the protection of small-sized farming and zootechnical enterprises, and in particular for those that produce in an ecological and sustainable way. Consumers should be provided exhaustive information about food products (including the use of GMOs), and the market protected from invasive patent-based practices.~~
- ~~• Production and distribution of energy in the Europe should aim at reducing consumption, securing provisions, protecting resources, and favouring consumers and competitiveness. Local production of energy shall be supported, in particular from renewable sources.~~

*not passed

++++
++++
++++

Proposal to amend the decision of the workshop :

Change :

"Everybody has the right to access documents and proceedings on all levels of government and to the information available to the respective public authorities. This right may be limited only for precise reasons such as the protection of personal rights, crime prevention, and under the control of an independant

judiciary organ."

to :

"Everybody has the right to access documents and proceedings on all levels of government and to the information available to the respective public authorities. The respect of this right shall be controlled by an independant organ."

*passed

+++++

2. The Catalan Pirate Party suggests we add the principle of net neutrality and change many of the paragraphs into more of principles (example, take a look at the manifesto of the Spanish Confederation).

Proposals on new parts:

1. The Italian Pirate Party suggests to add a preamble:

PRAEMISSE

We, the Pirates, want society to welcome and adjust to the digital revolution:

We identify the digital revolution as a moment of total renewal of human societies; we recognize therefore as one of our primary goals the defense of the net as a common good and a public utility.

On the role of the parties in the digital era:

- we maintain that the current political parties structures belong to an industrial society of the 19th and 20th century, and are therefore obsolete in our digital society;

For these reasons we maintain that the candidates in charge of realising the political program should be elected by the citizens and not appointed by the parties.

- For the European elections of 2014, we, the Pirate Party, will present an open political program developed directly by the citizens regardless of their political affiliation , online and in the local pirate centers.

-likewise, we won't ask our electors to vote for members of the pirateparties, but we shall ask the population to vote directly persons valued for their honesty and competence to represent them.

-we believe that this would be the only solution to overcome the current party system, made of obsolete institutions unfit for the digital era; the pirate parties will act as temporary incubators of real democracy, following the peer to peer pirate philosophy, with the elimination of intermediaries.

*not passed

Or:

-Preamble 2

The European Union today, as a supranational institution, is composed of its member states, rather than by its citizens.

The balance of power between states, and EU citizens is heavily biased in favor of states. The EU is a union more like technical than political.

The Pirates are of the opinion that the future of Europe should beorganized on the common interests of all European citizens, rather than the interests of individual Member States.

The democratic deficit inside the European Union, has existed since thebeginning and has not been sufficiently addressed during the course ofthe integration process.

The Pirates are of the opinion that as soon as the EU must rebalance thisimbalance by structuring itself as

a part of a Federal State. And on the other strengthening and simplifying the tools of Direct Democracy in Europe.

The primary goal for all Pirates will be to resolve the democratic deficit through the construction of a solid democratic foundation for the European Union.

To achieve this goal will be crucial to design the political process closer to citizens and to create a common European cultural space. Policy decisions at European level must be preceded by discussions at the European level to enable an adequate participation of all. Without the appropriate level of participation can not be taken no correct decision to represent the general public interest.

Internet as a new sphere of communication, offers many opportunities: it provides the opportunity for policy development, helps to overcome the communication top-down policy as well as the one-way communication, and breaks the domination of the mass media. As a Pirates then we will defend the freedom of the Internet with fierce determination at European level and on a global scale.

*not passed

The short preamble suggested by Piratpartiet:

We, the Pirates, want society to welcome and adjust to the digital revolution:

We identify the digital revolution as a moment of total renewal of human societies; we recognize therefore as one of our primary goals the defense of the net as a common good and a public utility.

We want a society based on the following manifesto:

*passed

~~**The short preamble suggested by Andreas Czák PPAF**~~

~~*We, the Pirates, want society to welcome and adjust to the digital revolution:*~~

~~*We identify the digital revolution as a moment of total renewal of human societies; we recognize therefore as one of our primary goals the defense of the net as a common good and a public utility.*~~

~~*withdrawn~~

Italians reworded (Catalans)

We, the Pirates, want society to welcome and embrace the digital revolution:

We believe that the digital revolution is an opportunity for total renewal of human societies. The defense of the net as a common good and a public utility is one of our primary goals.

We want a society based on the following manifesto:

*not passed

*Approval:

* the European Pirate Party =1

* the European Pirates =8

* the PIRATES =1

*passed

+++++

3. The Italian Pirates want to add more topics to the manifesto:

-Agriculture:

We, Pirates, stand for the protection of small-sized farming and zootechnical enterprises, and in particular for those that produce in an ecological way.

We believe that the breeding and killing of animals should be done without provoking them suffering, thus defending the rights of all farm animals, assuring them a fair and proper treatment.

We also want agriculture and animal husbandry practices to comply with the respect of the environment.

We are against GMOs. For this reason it's fundamental for consumers to be informed in detail on the food they eat, and in particular about its origin, processing and possible health risks. Moreover, misleading advertising and violations in the food industry shall be prosecuted with particular severity. Farming subsidies shall be oriented to safeguard the survival of EU citizens in case of events that prevent foodstuff to be imported from outside. Those who raise varieties unable to reproduce themselves or covered by patents shall be excluded from subsidies. Self production shall be encouraged in any form.

-Energy policies

GOALS

Production and distribution of energy in the European Union should aim at reducing consumption, securing provisions, protecting resources, and favouring consumers and competitiveness. As pirates we defend the goals agreed upon by the European Union for the climate change. We need a mix of energetic efficiency, a better exchange at european level for emissions and a strong support for renewable energy. We Pirates think that the selection of energetic sources shall follow "production ethics". For this reason we think that provision, if needed, shall occur in democratic countries and not in states where civil rights of the population are missing or at risk.

POWER SUPPLY

Internal production of energy shall be supported, in particular from renewable sources. We Pirates demand the suppression of subsidies in favor of fossil and nuclear power production and incinerators. These subsidies, including the exemption from legal responsibilities for nuclear plants, are counterproductive for producing energy in an environment-friendly and mostly self-sufficient manner for Europe. Research and production in the renewable energy and energy lines fields shall be encouraged.

GRIDS

We Pirates want to integrate electricity grids at european level. We ask for investments aimed at reducing losses and upgrade infrastructures at the most up-to-date technologies, to allow an intelligent management of them (smart grids), and to support the achievement of energetic goals. The internal network shall be connected with a network of providers able to achieve the supply objectives. Since some national networks are operated as monopolies, their property shall be public or, where this provision is not applicable, prices shall be set by a public entity.

INFORMATION

The energy goals, including energy saving, cannot be achieved without the boost from the consumers: it is therefore important to achieve a high level of awareness. We therefore call for a binding commitment on a European scale to the government and energy suppliers to submit the relevant data in a barrier-free way. This should be done by protecting consumers from any risk from those who collect detailed documentation of individual energy consumption. Prices and transparent disclosure of the mix of energy sources are a pre-requisite for a functional energy market controlled by the consumer.

Proposal for Chapter I by PP-DE(Alex):

I. * Citizen participation

Power resides with the people. Their rights and their dignity stand above all else.

Human dignity is inviolable. Everybody has the right to life, liberty and security of person, to freedom

of thought and self-determination, and to participate in society.

The European Pirate Party strives to create opportunities for democratic participation and to promote their widespread use, because only democracy can ensure an equitable balancing of the European's diverse interests.

*not passed.

Proposal PP-CAT add

"Human dignity is inviolable. Everybody has the right to life, liberty and security of person, to freedom of thought and self-determination, and to participate in society. "

to the beginning of section on Civil Rights

*passed

2nd Proposal PP-CAT add

Exchange order of sections Civil Rights and Citizen participation

*passed

Proposal for Chapter I by PP-DE(Alex):

Strike out the moved sentence from the Citizen Part section:

"Everybody has the right to life, liberty and security, to freedom of thought and self-determination, and to participate in decisions that affect their lives."

because it is already redundand

*passed

Proposal PP-SE:

Move ... to Chapter ...

Merge ... with ...

+++++

by Immanuel Rohringer PP-AT

4. Basic services:

We should have basic services on a non monetary level. Education, food and healthcare should be included in basic services for general public in europe.

>helps the womanfolk to be independend, they get a lot more admission for their work.

>helps the industries to get cheaper work force

+++++

R e s u l t s: <https://eu.piratenpad.de/warsaw-2013-results>

+++++